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## Part I

## Principles of Calibration

## Photon Counting Detectors

Photon counting detectors $n$

- detects individual photons as a particle (by technical design),
- like CCD, channels on spacecrafts, photographic emulsion, photomultiplier, eye (?).

Calorimeters $E$

- energy-based detectors (measures energy)
- examples: bolometers, ...

Detector absorb energy (by Planck's law):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=n h \nu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Important:

- Photon detectors collects all photons (energy doesn't matter).
- Calorimeters collects energy (amount of photons doesn't matter).


## Principle Of The Calibration

Our device registers the counts - $c$ (per seconds and area, single frequency)
A number of photons expected from calibration sources - $n$ The crucial point of the calibration is determination of the coefficient $\eta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{c}{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

General properties:

- $\eta$ is probability of detection a photon on $\nu$
- $\eta$ characterizes of efficiency: $0<\eta<1$
- response of full apparatus (including optics, atmospheric conditions, ...)


## Difficulties Of Photometric Calibration

Methods:

- laboratory: ideal for CCD, precise with calibrated lamp
- celestial: full apparatus, low precision, easy available

Theoretical difficulties:

- multi-frequency observing (finite frequency band)

Practical difficulties:

- atmospheric conditions
- calibration sources


## Methods Of Photometric Calibration

Photons and photometric quantities

- How many photons is coming from Vega ?
- What are we exactly observing?

Multi-band calibration

- Color systems
- Conversions

Poisson's Nature of Photons

- Statistical methods for calibration
- We are robust!


## Energy, fluxes, . . .

- Energy conservation $E$

$$
L=\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\int_{V} e \mathrm{~d} V=\int_{S} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{~d} S
$$

- Energy flux $\mathbf{F}$ :
- has direction
- per second
- per area
- SI units: W $\cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$
- Intensity:

$$
F=\int_{\Omega} I \mathrm{~d} \Omega
$$

- per second
- per area
- per cone
- per frequency (wavelength)
- SI units: W $\cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$


## Photon flux

Photon flux $\phi_{\nu} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~Hz}[\mathrm{~m}]$

$$
\phi_{\nu} \equiv \frac{\Delta n}{\Delta t \Delta A \Delta \Omega \Delta \nu}
$$

Photon flux

$$
I_{\nu}=\phi_{\nu} h \nu
$$

(equivalent o Planck's law for continuous quantities)

## The Spectrum

- Basically, an energy spectrum are proper values of Hamiltonian operator $H\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=E_{n}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle$ (discrete).
- Basically, the spectrum are proper values of density matrix ??? (continuous).
- Observed as the spectral density flux $f_{\nu}, f_{\lambda}$
- has direction? No! it's rate ${ }^{1}$, has no direction!
- per second
- per area
- per frequency (wavelength)
- SI units: W $\cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~Hz}[\mathrm{~m}]$
${ }^{1}$ A rate is a general normalized quantity. The flux is used historically as $\mathbf{F}=f \cdot \mathbf{n}$.


## Spectrum of Vega



Synphot reference spectrum [2]

## Spectrum of Sun



Synphot reference spectrum [2]

## Energy And Photon Fluxes In A Filter

- observation via filter (band in radio, channel in HEA)
- has finite spectral width (mixes near frequencies)
- function: $f(\nu)$ is probability of "transmission" of a photon throughout the filter
- mathematically means conditional probability

Energy flux in filter $\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{F}(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu<\infty\right)$ :

$$
F_{F}=\int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\nu}(\nu) f_{F}(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu
$$

Photon Flux

$$
\phi_{F}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{\nu}(\nu) f_{F}(\nu)}{h \nu} \mathrm{~d} \nu
$$

## Approximations Of Photon Flux In The Filter

- Usually, exact spectral transmisivity of filters is not known (need due precision).
Gauss-Hermite quadrature ${ }^{2}$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

where $w_{n}$ are weights and $x_{n}$ are roots of Hermite polynomial $H_{n}(x), w_{1}=2(?)$.

- The interval of integration extended to $-\infty$.
- The weighting function approximates the real filter transmitivity
The filter approximation is

$$
f(\nu) \approx f_{\nu_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\nu-\nu_{0}\right)^{2} / 2(\Delta \nu)^{2}}
$$

with parameters $\nu_{0}$ as center of filter, $\Delta \nu$ as "broadness" parameter and $f_{\nu_{0}}$ as the transmitivity at maximum.
The area under the graph is approximately

## Vega

- many photometry systems foundation
- defined for $V, m=0, B-V=0$
- Luminosity $L=40 L_{\odot}$
- Distance $d=7.68 \mathrm{pc}$
- flux

$$
F=\frac{L}{4 \pi d^{2}}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right] F=2.27 \cdot 10^{-8}\left[\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ (energy conservation, energy is spread over larger cone (surface)) $\mathbf{F}=(F, 0,0)$ in spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi)$.

## $V$ filter

- $V$ filter defines flux density at 1 Hz as $f_{0}=3600 \cdot 10^{-26}\left[\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}\right]$
- $V$ filter has effective wavelength $\nu_{\text {eff }}=550 \cdot 10^{12} \mathrm{~Hz}$ and width $\Delta \nu=89 \cdot 10^{12} \mathrm{~Hz}$.
- Flux in filter with trnasmitivity $T_{V}(\nu)$ is

$$
F_{V}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\nu) \cdot T_{V}(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu \approx f\left(\nu_{\text {eff }}\right) T\left(\nu_{\text {eff }}\right) \Delta \nu
$$

- For the ideal filter for Vega $f\left(\nu_{\text {eff }}\right)=f_{0}=3600 \cdot 10^{-26}\left[\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}\right], T=1$ and so $F_{V}=3.2 \cdot 10^{-9}\left[\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$


## Photon flux

- Energie jednoho fotonu ve $V$ filtru je $e=h \nu_{\text {eff }}=3.6 \cdot 10^{-19}[\mathrm{~J}]$
- Energie nesená více fotony $E=n e=n h \nu_{\text {eff }}$
- Pro fotonový tok ve filtru

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{E}{1 \mathrm{~s} 1 \mathrm{~Hz}} \approx \frac{F}{\Delta \nu} \approx n h \nu_{\text {eff }} \\
n=\frac{F}{h \nu_{\text {eff }}}=\frac{f_{0} \Delta \nu}{h \nu_{\text {eff }}}
\end{gathered}
$$

pro Vegu vychází ve $V$ filtru asi $8.8 \cdot 10^{9}\left[\right.$ fotonů $\left./ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$
Pro zajímavost, plocha lidského oka je $\pi \cdot 0.003^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ a tedy
$n_{\text {oko }}=2.5 \cdot 10^{5}$ [fotonů/s], pro magnitudy $m=7$ je
$n_{\text {oko }}=400[$ fotonů/s] (ale vadí i pozadí)

## Energy And Photon Fluxes For V Filter

Fluxes:

$$
\phi=f_{0} \Delta \nu 10^{0.4 m}
$$

Photon fluxes:

$$
\phi=\frac{f_{0} \Delta \nu}{h \nu_{\mathrm{eff}}} 10^{0.4 \mathrm{~m}}
$$

$m$ enery flux photon flux

|  | $\left[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{ph} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $10^{-9}$ | $10^{10}$ | Vega |
| 5 | $10^{-11}$ | $10^{8}$ | eye faint |
| 10 | $10^{-13}$ | $10^{6}$ | Perek's 2 m |
| 15 | $10^{-15}$ | $10^{4}$ | CCD on telescope |
| 20 | $10^{-17}$ | 100 | single-exposure limit |
| 25 | $10^{-19}$ | 0.9 | full-night observation |

## Vega Photon Fluxes For V Filter

Vega in V filter


Photon fluxes for V filter: DK154 1.026E +10 Johnson 5.5E +09 (no CCD quantum sensitivity).
Interpretation: Photon fluxes for DK154 filters are approximately twice more than standard filters

## Photon fluxes for R filter

Vega with and without $\mathrm{H} \alpha$

Photons (removed $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ): $8.621 \mathrm{E}+09$ photons $/ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} 2$
Photons (including $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ): $8.608 \mathrm{E}+09$ photons $/ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} 2$
To resolve between stars with and without, we need relative precision better than $1 \%$ (!).

## Photon fluxes for DK154 filters

| Vega | filter | DK154 ${ }^{\dagger} \times 10^{9}$ | Landolt ${ }^{\dagger}$ | DK154 / Landolt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | 7.401 | 3.023 | 2.448 |
|  | V | 8.999 | 4.814 | 1.870 |
|  | R | 7.858 | 6.894 | 1.140 |
|  | I | 3.578 | 3.985 | 0.899 |
| Sun | filter | DK154 ${ }^{\dagger} \times 10^{20}$ | Landolt ${ }^{\dagger}$ | DK154 / Landolt |
|  | B | 1.950 | 0.821 | 2.375 |
|  | V | 4.594 | 2.409 | 1.907 |
|  | R | 5.647 | 4.867 | 1.160 |
|  | I | 3.472 | 4.032 | 0.861 |

Mean difference 0.042 . The absolute calibration is limited to a few percent!
$\dagger$ units in [photons $/ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ]

## Part II

## Color transformations

## Johnson-Morgan and DK154 filter systems

Johnson-Morgan vs. DK154 filter systems


## The basics of approximations

- Right approximation function selection
- Criterion of a good approximation


## Distance Of Functions

The distance of functions is defined as the functional $(\mathcal{C}(.) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ :

$$
S[f \mid g]=\int w(x)\|f(x)-g(x \mid a)\| \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $\|$.$\| is a measure.$
For filters, $w(x)=\phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu)$ :

$$
S=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu)\left\|f(\nu)-f^{\prime}(\nu)\right\| \mathrm{d} \nu
$$

General approximation of functions:

- we choose "suitable" functions from a space (set) of functions $\mathcal{C}$
- we try choose of parameters ()
- we choose a measure


## Design of approximation of filters

## Scaling

Norm factor ( $f^{\prime}$ is instrumental, $f$ standard)

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}(\nu)=r \cdot f(\nu) \\
S(r)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu)\left[f^{\prime}(\nu)-r f(\nu)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu
\end{gathered}
$$

Solution for $\delta S / \delta r=0$ :

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} r}=-2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu)\left[f^{\prime}(\nu)-r f(\nu)\right] f(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f^{\prime}(\nu) f(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu=r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f^{2}(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Design of approximation of filters

## Two filter Multi-Linear Approximation

Norm factor ( $f^{\prime}$ is instrumental, $f$ standard)

$$
f_{B}^{\prime}(\nu)=c_{B B} \cdot f_{B}(\nu)+c_{B V} \cdot f_{V}(\nu) f_{V}^{\prime}(\nu)=c_{V B} \cdot f_{B}(\nu)+c_{V V} \cdot f_{V}(\nu)
$$

$$
S(A, B, C, D)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu)\left[f_{B}^{\prime}(\nu)-A f_{B}(\nu)-B f_{V}(\nu)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(
$$

The solution is a set of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial A}=0 \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{B}^{\prime} f_{B} \mathrm{~d} \nu=c_{B B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{B}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu+c_{B V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{B} f_{B} \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{B}^{\prime} f_{V} \mathrm{~d} \nu=c_{B B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{B} f_{V} \mathrm{~d} \nu+c_{B V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{V}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Design of approximation of filters

## Multi-Linear approximation

Norm factor ( $f^{\prime}$ is instrumental, $f$ standard)

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{B}^{\prime}(\nu)=A \cdot f_{B}(\nu)+B \cdot f_{V}(\nu) f_{V}^{\prime}(\nu)=C \cdot f_{B}(\nu)+D \cdot f_{V}(\nu) \\
f_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i j} c_{i j} f_{j}, \quad i, j=B, V \\
S\left(c_{i j}\right)=\sum_{j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) \sum_{i}\left[f_{j}^{\prime}(\nu)-c_{i j} f_{i}(\nu)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} \nu
\end{gathered}
$$

The solution

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial c_{i j}}=0
$$

is a set of equations

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{j}^{\prime} f_{i} \mathrm{~d} \nu=\sum_{l} c_{i j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f_{i} f_{l} \mathrm{~d} \nu i, j=B, V, R, I
$$

Interpretation:

- diasonal elements are nronortional common filter


## Filter Approximation of DK 154

## From DK154 to Johnson(-Morgan)

Vega

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{B} \\
n_{V} \\
n_{R} \\
n_{I}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1.5271 & -0.2223 & 0.0825 & -0.0673 \\
0.1125 & 0.8968 & -0.1655 & 0.0126 \\
0.0030 & -0.1854 & 1.4262 & -0.6064 \\
0.0004 & -0.0533 & 0.1591 & 1.0928
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
c_{B} \\
c_{V} \\
c_{R} \\
c_{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Sun

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{B} \\
n_{V} \\
n_{R} \\
n_{I}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1.6163 & -0.2064 & 0.0653 & -0.0497 \\
0.1877 & 0.8932 & -0.0149 & 0.0106 \\
0.0065 & -0.2764 & 1.5378 & -0.6388 \\
0.0011 & -0.0859 & 0.2086 & 1.0971
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
c_{B} \\
c_{V} \\
c_{R} \\
c_{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Approximation of B filter

## Vega and DK154 instrumental filter



## Approximation of V filter

## Vega and DK154 instrumental filter



## Approximation of R filter

## Vega and DK154 instrumental filter



## Approximation of I filter

## Vega and DK154 instrumental filter

I filter approximation


## Single Filter Approximation of DK 154

Determination of $r$ from (5):

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f^{\prime}(\nu) f(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu=r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\nu}(\nu) q(\nu) f^{2}(\nu) \mathrm{d} \nu
$$

| filter | Vega | Sun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 2.3546 | 2.2873 |
| V | 1.6307 | 1.6421 |
| R | 1.1455 | 1.1581 |
| I | 0.76748 | 0.74333 |

## Natural colors

"reconstruction of natural colors (by human being perception) from astronomical filters"

- SBIG ST-8 with BVRI filter set
- MonteBoo dome, solar light
- best check of the approximations !


## Poorly Reconstructed colors

Instrumental MonteBoo BVR to RGB


## Natural colors

Instrumental MonteBoo BVR to Johnson-Morgan


## Canon EOS30D

Check colors



## Part III

Poisson's Nature of Photons

## Poisson distribution

Let's, expected amount of photons is $n$, probability observing of events $\lambda T$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda T)=\frac{(\lambda T)^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda T}}{n!}, \quad(n=0,1 \ldots) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\lambda$ is event rate, $\lambda T$ is number of occurred events per time period
- comparisons counts of particles, not normalized fluxes
- for independently occurred events

Mean:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}=\lambda \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\lambda \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Median $\nu$ is $(\nu \neq \bar{c}!)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda-\ln 2<\nu<\lambda+\frac{1}{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Principle of maximum likelihood

- probability distribution of every single data point $x_{i}$ is a priory $p\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right)$
- like composing of probabilities $p=p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \ldots p_{N}$, join distribution is

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots x_{N} \mid \theta\right)=p\left(x_{1} \mid \theta\right) \cdot p\left(x_{2} \mid \theta\right) \ldots p\left(x_{N} \mid \theta\right) \equiv L
$$

- parameter $\theta$ is determined for maximum of $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots x_{N} \mid \theta\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} p\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Common method to get maximum is use of derivation $\ln L$

$$
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln p\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right)=0
$$

## Determination of response - beginning

Use of maximum likelihood for calibration sources $i=1,2 \ldots N$ with expected number of photons $n_{i}$ and observed per 1 s period $c_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{i}\left(c_{i} \mid r\right)=r c_{i}, \quad(r>1) \\
L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} p_{n_{i}}\left(\lambda_{i} \mid r\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{n_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{i}}}{n_{i}!}
\end{gathered}
$$

Localization of maximum $(\max L=\max \ln L)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln L=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(n_{i} \ln \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{i}! \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} \ln L}{\mathrm{~d} r}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}-1\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} r}=0 \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Determination of response - result

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} r}=c_{i}
$$

and its derivation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{r c_{i}}-1\right) c_{i}=0
$$

with some algebra

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{r}-c_{i}\right)=0
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as expected and equivalent to $r=\bar{n} / \bar{c}$.

## Determination of multi-response - beginning

Use maximum likelihood for calibration sources $i=1,2 \ldots N$ with expected number of photons $n_{i k}$ in a filter set $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots F_{K}$ and observed counts $c_{i k}$ per 1 s period:

$$
\lambda_{i k}\left(c_{i k} \mid r_{k j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{K} r_{k j} c_{i j}, \quad(k=1,2, \ldots K, i=1,2, \ldots N)
$$

and also $\forall r_{k j}>1$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
k=1}}^{N, K} P_{n_{i k}}\left(\lambda_{i k}\right)=\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
k=1}}^{N, K} \frac{\lambda_{i k}^{n_{i k}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{i k}}}{n_{i k}!} . \\
\ln L=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
k=1}}^{N, K}\left(n_{i k} \ln \lambda_{i k}-\lambda_{i k}\right)-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
k=1}}^{N} n_{i k}! \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial r_{i k}}=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
k=1}}^{N, K}\left(\frac{n_{i k}}{\lambda_{i k}}-1\right) \frac{\partial \lambda_{i k}}{\partial r_{i k}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## Determination of parameters for multi-filter - result

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda_{i k}}{\partial r_{i k}}=c_{i k}
$$

and its derivation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{\sum_{j} r_{j k} c_{i}}-1\right) c_{i k}=0
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{n_{i k} c_{i k}-c_{i k} \sum_{j} r_{j k} c_{i j}}{\sum_{j} r_{j k} c_{i j}}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have got a non-linear system of equations for $r_{j k}$.

## Normal and Poisson distributions connection

For Poisson distribution, formula like (11) is minimized

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln L=\sum\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Normal distribution, $\chi^{2}$ (least-squares with weights) is used

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}
$$

Applying property (7) and (8) of Poisson systems $\sigma^{2}=\lambda$ gives

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{\left(n_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{i}}
$$

and asymptotically ${ }^{3}$ for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial r}=\sum \frac{\lambda_{i}^{2}-n_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial r} \stackrel{n_{i} \rightarrow \lambda_{i}}{=} \sum\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}-n_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial r}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Poisson distribution suggests the same minimization way!
${ }^{3}$ simple, but not correct way is to minimize $\left(\lambda_{i}-n_{i}\right)^{2} / n_{i}$

## Part IV

## Robust Statistics

## Robust Methods

## Outliers didn't matter ${ }^{4}$

Huber[4]:
"robustness signifies insensitivity to small deviations from assumptions"

- insensitive to outliers (by unexpected errors, apparatus defects, cosmics, ...)
- equivalent to least square for well noised data (the same dispersion)
- ideal for machine processing

[^0]
## Merged Distributions

## Tail of Outliers ${ }^{5}$ for 100 thousands data points

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x)=(1-\epsilon) \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)+\epsilon \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{3 \sigma}\right), \\
\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathrm{e}^{-t^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{gathered}
$$

| $\epsilon$ | $s$ | $d$ | $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.998 | 0.796 | 1.008 |

Measure of scatter:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =\frac{1}{N} \sum\left|x_{i}-\bar{x}\right| \\
s & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{5}$ Example by Tukey(1960), Hubber(1980)

## Two Normal distributions

$\epsilon=0.1$


## General Principles of Robust Statistics

Determine a parameter ${ }^{6}$ by maximum likelihood:

$$
L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} p\left(x_{i} \mid \tilde{x}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} f\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)
$$

assumption $p(x \mid \tilde{x})=f(x-\tilde{x})$ and substitution $\rho(x)=-\ln p(x)$

$$
\ln L=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)
$$

A standard way to look for minimum, $\psi(x) \equiv \rho^{\prime}(x)$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \ln L}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{x}}=0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)=0
$$

[^1]
## Least Square Method - I.

## Derivation

The distribution $p\left(x_{i} \mid \bar{x}\right)$ is Normal (Gaussian):

$$
p\left(x_{i} \mid \bar{x}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Likelihood:

$$
L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

To get an analytic solution, we introduces

$$
-\ln L=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+N \ln \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma
$$

which we identified as the sum of squares $S$ (second term is an additive constant):

$$
S \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Least Square Method - II.

## Arithmetical Mean

The minimum is located as:

$$
-\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \bar{x}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{2 \sigma^{2}}=
$$

SO

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{x}
$$

and by this way

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}
$$

where we used identity

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{x}=\bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1=\bar{x} N
$$

## Mean Absolute Deviation

Laplace
The distribution $p\left(x_{i} \mid \nu\right)$ is Laplace's:

$$
p\left(x_{i} \mid \nu\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\left|x_{i}-\nu\right|}
$$

Likelihood:

$$
L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\left|x_{i}-\nu\right|}
$$

and its logarithm:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\ln L=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|x_{i}-\nu\right| \\
-\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \nu}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{sgn} x_{i}-\nu=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Numerical solution only.

## General Distribution

A general (robust) distribution will

$$
p\left(x_{i} \mid \tilde{x}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\left(\varrho\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)\right)}
$$

Maximum likelihood

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\varrho\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)} \\
-\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \tilde{x}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varrho^{\prime}\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with common designation $\psi=\varrho^{\prime}$ is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right)=0
$$

The equation can be solved numerically.

## Remarkable Distributions

$$
\rho=-\ln p \quad \psi=\rho^{\prime}
$$

Gauss
$x^{2} / 2$
$x$
Laplace
$|x|$
Huber $\left\{\begin{array}{rr}-a x-a^{2} / 2, & x<-a \\ x^{2} / 2, & -a<x<a \\ a x-a^{2} / 2, & x>a\end{array} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{r}-a \\ x \\ a\end{array}\right.\right.$
Tukey $\left\{\begin{array}{l}x^{6} / 6 c^{4}-\left(x^{2} / 2\right)\left(1-x^{2} / c^{2}\right), \\ 0\end{array} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}x\left(1-x^{2} / c^{2}\right)^{2} \\ 0\end{array}\right.\right.$

## Graphs of Remarkable Distributions



## The Algorithm for Robust Mean

1. Initial estimation by median: $\tilde{x}_{0}=\nu=\operatorname{med}\left(x_{i}\right)$
2. Scatter estimation (median of absolute deviations - MAD) by median or by simplex method

$$
s=\operatorname{med}\left(\left|x_{i}-\tilde{x}_{0}\right|\right)
$$

3. Robust estimator

$$
\sum_{i} \psi\left(\frac{x_{i}-\tilde{x}}{s}\right)=0
$$

by Newton's method, Levendberg-Marquart (Minpack)
4. Approximation of deviations on minimum (robust analogy of RMS):

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{N}{N-1} s^{2} \frac{(1 / N) \sum_{i} \psi^{2}\left[\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right) / s\right]}{\left\{(1 / N) \sum_{i} \psi^{\prime}\left[\left(x_{i}-\tilde{x}\right) / s\right]\right\}^{2}}
$$

## Robust Photometry

Maximum likelihood for Poisson's:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}\left(c_{i} \mid r\right)}{\lambda_{i}\left(c_{i} \mid r\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

may $\mathrm{be}^{7}$ asymptotically (for $>20$ ) replaced by

$$
\chi^{2} \rightarrow R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varrho\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}\left(c_{i} \mid r\right)}{\lambda_{i}\left(c_{i} \mid r\right)}\right)
$$

${ }^{7}$ Important! There is no proof for Poisson distribution.

## Single Band Calibration

$$
R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varrho\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{i}\left(c_{i} \mid r\right)=r c_{i}, \quad \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial r}=c_{i}, \quad \varrho^{\prime}=\psi \\
\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)\left(\frac{n_{i}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial r}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the last term after $\psi$ can be reduced onto $n_{i} / r c_{i} \rightarrow 1$ and asymptotically in minimum to one.
To improve precision, $c_{i} \rightarrow c_{i}^{\prime}$ can be computed from known color transformation matrix:

$$
c_{i k}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} t_{j k} c_{i j}
$$

## Color Transformation Determination

$$
R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varrho\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{i k}\left(c_{i k} \mid r_{j k}\right)=\sum_{j} r_{j k} c_{i j}, \quad \frac{\partial \lambda_{i k}}{\partial r_{j k}}=c_{i k} \\
\frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{j k}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)\left(\frac{n_{i}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}\right) c_{j k}, \\
k=1, \ldots K, j=1, \ldots J
\end{gathered}
$$

## Color transformation

Determination by using (??) should get a matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{B} \\
n_{V} \\
n_{R} \\
n_{I}
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{?}{=}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
3.1344 & 0.0930 & 0.0108 & -0.0220 \\
-0.3033 & 1.4834 & -0.0882 & 0.0054 \\
-0.7558 & 0.4635 & 1.3393 & 0.1252 \\
-2.0691 & 1.6536 & -1.0988 & 3.0274
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
c_{B} \\
c_{V} \\
c_{R} \\
c_{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

What's going on?

- Off-diagonal elements are too large (means overlays!)
- Test data gives correct values for small noise, fails for large.
- Residuals looks sufficiently: small and correct.
- Failed due to various disturbances (noise, rounding errors, ...).


## Regularization

To get disturbances-free solution, we introduces additional condition:

$$
\sum_{\substack{i, j \\|i-j|>1}} r_{i k} \rightarrow 0
$$

(minimizing of sum of off-tridiagonal elements)

$$
R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varrho\left(\frac{n_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)+\lambda\left(\sum_{\substack{j k \\|j-k|>1}} r_{j k}-1\right)
$$

where $\lambda$ is Lagrange's multiplicator.

## Regularized Color Transformation

## Field of T Phe

Calibration on Stars:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{B} \\
n_{V} \\
n_{R} \\
n_{I}
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{?}{=}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
2.0043 & 0.0499 & -0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0592 & 0.9392 & -0.0159 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.0439 & 1.1834 & -0.0213 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & -0.0376 & 1.8829
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
c_{B} \\
c_{V} \\
c_{R} \\
c_{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Filters (Vega):

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{B} \\
n_{V} \\
n_{R} \\
n_{I}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1.5271 & -0.2223 & 0.0825 & -0.0673 \\
0.1125 & 0.8968 & -0.1655 & 0.0126 \\
0.0030 & -0.1854 & 1.4262 & -0.6064 \\
0.0004 & -0.0533 & 0.1591 & 1.0928
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
c_{B} \\
c_{V} \\
c_{R} \\
c_{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Advises for Color Transformation

- Regularization is absolutely necessary.
- Blurred regularization term can be proposed.
- Classical photometrics (Hardie) recommends only diagonal and upper diagonal (unstable).


## Part V

Modeling Extinction

## The Extinction

Light passing a medium lost its energy (or photons are scattered and absorbed) as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} F_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} x}=\kappa(\nu) F_{\nu}
$$

Its solution:

$$
F_{\nu}=F_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa(\nu) x}
$$

$F=I$ for plane wave.
Typical dependencies of $\kappa \sim 1 / \lambda, 1 / \lambda^{4}$

$$
\kappa(\nu) \sim \nu, \sim \nu^{4}
$$

## Monochromatic Extinction

Flux for an object in the filter:

$$
F_{V}=\int F(\nu) f_{V}(\nu) \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa(\nu) x} \mathrm{~d} \nu \approx F_{V_{0}} f_{V} \Delta \nu_{V} \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa\left(\nu_{V}\right) x}
$$

Photon flux for a plane wave in a filter

$$
n_{V}=n_{V_{0}} \frac{f_{V} \Delta \nu_{V}}{h \nu_{V}} \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa_{V} x}
$$

with substitution $c_{V_{0}}=n_{V_{0}}\left(f_{V} \Delta \nu_{V} / h \nu_{V}\right)$ that leads to a simple dependence of observed counts $c$ on its path

$$
c_{V}=c_{V_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa_{V} x}
$$

## DK154 extinction

## Without color correction

- observer Selected Areas (SA) by P.Škoda.
- available 3-5 stars per SA
- Johnson-Morgan photometry system, Landolt (1992)
- aperture photometry, radius 3-FWHM (= 7 arcsec)

Attenuation is modeled as

$$
r(X)=A \mathrm{e}^{K X}\left(\sim \frac{n_{V}}{c_{V}}\right)
$$

| filter | $A[\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{ph}]$ | $K[\mathrm{ph} /$ airmas $]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ | $1.600 \pm 0.042$ | $0.214 \pm 0.016$ |
| $V$ | $0.722 \pm 0.008$ | $0.098 \pm 0.007$ |
| $R$ | $0.958 \pm 0.016$ | $0.063 \pm 0.010$ |
| I | $1.582 \pm 0.031$ | $0.040 \pm 0.011$ |

$A$ is extraterrestrial value, $K$ is the extinction

## Graph of DK154 extinction

Without color correction

Extinction on SA 95, 98, 101, 104, 107, 110, 113


## DK154 extinction

## Color correction

Attenuation is modeled as

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& r(X)=A \mathrm{e}^{K X}\left(\sim \frac{n_{V}}{c_{V}}\right) \\
& & \\
\text { filter } & A[\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{ph}] & K[\mathrm{ph} / \text { airmas }] \\
\hline B & 0.753 \pm 0.016 & 0.192 \pm 0.011 \\
V & 0.764 \pm 0.010 & 0.110 \pm 0.007 \\
R & 0.799 \pm 0.011 & 0.068 \pm 0.008 \\
I & 0.888 \pm 0.014 & 0.032 \pm 0.008
\end{array}
$$

$A$ is extraterrestrial value, $K$ is the extinction

## Graph of DK154 extinction

Color correction

Extinction on SA 95, 98, 101, 104, 107, 110, 113


## Graph of DK154 extinction

Comparison with and without color correction

Extinction on SA 95, 98, 101, 104, 107, 110, 113


## Graph of DK154 extinction

Comparison Stetson vs. UCAC4

Extinction on SA 95, 98, 101, 104, 107, 110, 113


## Color Extinction and Photon Calibration

$$
\kappa\left(\nu-\nu_{V}\right)=\kappa\left(\nu_{V}\right)+\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \kappa}{\mathrm{~d} \nu}\right|_{V}\left(\nu-\nu_{V}\right)+\cdots \approx \kappa\left(\nu_{V}\right)+\kappa_{V}^{\prime} \Delta \nu
$$

so

$$
c_{V}=c_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\kappa v-\kappa_{V}^{\prime} \Delta \nu\right) X}
$$

therefore differently coloured object will different-falling exponential.
Fluency on color transformation ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{i k}=r_{i k}(n, c) \cdot r_{i k}(\kappa) \\
n_{k} \approx \sum \mathrm{e}^{\kappa X} r_{i k} c_{k} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^2]Part VI

## Hell Of Magnitudes

## Magnitudes

Magnitudes (Nobody expects the Spanish Inquisition! ${ }^{9}$ )

$$
m-m_{0}=2.5 \log _{10} \frac{F}{F_{0}}
$$

- Defined by Pogson in mid 19 century to formalize ancient magnitudes of Hipparcos.
- Logarithm of flux ratio.
- Designed as an analogy of psycho-physiological law for sound (obsoleted at late 1920 s by Wright, Guild: perception $\sim F^{1 / 3}$ )
- Used exclusively by optical astronomers
- Chief confusing framework in astronomy (!).
${ }^{9}$ The Spanish Inquisition seeds "violence, terror an torture" like magnitudes. See the sketch of Monty Python [1] for details.


## Magnitude - Flux and Photons Connection

Magnitudes in filter

$$
m-m_{0}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{F_{i}}{F_{0 i}}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{n_{i}}{n_{0 i}}
$$

- ??


## Magnitude - Calibration in Magnitudes

From (??), we know

$$
n \approx r c
$$

and

$$
F=\int_{\Omega} n h \nu_{\mathrm{eff}} \mathrm{~d} \Omega
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\text {reference }}=n h \nu_{\text {eff }} \Delta \Omega \\
F_{\text {instrumental }}=c h \nu_{\text {eff }} \Delta \Omega \\
m_{\text {instrumental }}-m_{\text {reference }}=2.5 \log _{10} r
\end{gathered}
$$

Basic rule: difference of magnitudes is logarithm of ratio of fluxes. Work for both energy and photon fluxes.

## Magnitude - Color index

Defined as

$$
m_{k}-m_{l}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{F_{k}}{F_{l}}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{n_{k}}{n_{l}} \frac{\Delta \nu_{k}}{\Delta \nu_{l}} \frac{\nu_{l}}{\nu_{k}}
$$

or alternatively

$$
m_{k}-m_{l}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{n_{k}}{n_{l}}
$$

## Magnitude - Color Transformation

From (??), we know

$$
n_{k}=\sum_{j} r_{j k} c_{k}, \quad(k=U, B, . .)
$$

and

$$
m_{k}-m_{k-1}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{n_{k}}{n_{k-1}}=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{r_{k} c_{k}}{n_{k-1}}
$$

## Magnitude - Atmospheric Extinction

From (16), we know

$$
n_{k} \approx \sum \mathrm{e}^{\kappa X} r_{i k} c_{k}
$$

when we define extinction coefficient $\frac{2.5}{\ln 10} \kappa \equiv k$

$$
m-m 0=-2.5 \log _{10} \frac{r c}{n}+k \kappa X
$$

## Magnitude's Hell

- We cannot use robust methods (because distribution is non-normal).
- The use of $\sigma^{2}=\bar{n}$ is obscured.
- The question of superior: Can we use magnitudes in our case?

Part VII
Munipack

## Key Features

* power of combinations


## Routines Overview

## Aperture Photometry

Input: Output:

## Color Transformation

## Photometry System Identification

The photometry system is identified by its name and a set of filters. Representation by a structure: Source: The Asiago Database on Photometric Systems [3]

## Photometry Calibration

Splited on two phase process:

- color calibration
- ratios calibration


## Color calibration

Properties (for 4 filters):

- fitting many parameters: 17 (!)
- needs many stars (at least 5 in every filter)
- sensitive on statistical errors
\$ munipack phfotran -c [cat] --label [filters] b.fits v.fi1
Uses formula..


## Ratio calibration

Properties:

- fitting one parameter per filter
- single star is sufficient
- for precise calibration uses $r_{i k}$ matrix
\$ munipack phcal -c [cat] --label [filters] b.fits,b_cal.f:
Uses formula..


## Part VIII

## Conclusions

## Conclusions

Key points:

- photon nature of modern detectors
- robust statistical methods
- Poisson statistic
- Regularization
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[^0]:    ${ }^{4}$ Huber [4]

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ tilde $\tilde{x}$ is a robust estimator with contrast to the least square's $\bar{x}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ No data - no love.

