# **GRBs:** properties & afterglows

Petr Kurfürst ÚTFA MU Brno

Astronomical transients Selected chapters from astrophysics, fall semester, 2022

(cf. Tsvi Piran's talk on 35HUJI)



Once or twice a day

(Credit: Compton Gamma-Ray satellite)



July 2nd 1967: Vela 4a satellite (noticed only in 1969) (Credit: Klebsadel+ 1973)







(Credit: Ackermann+ 2011)

(Credit: Piran 2004)







# Short & long GRBs



# Low luminosity GRBs



(Credit: Nakar+ 2015, see also Lazzati+ 2012, del Colle+ 2018, etc.)

(cf: Alessandra Corsi's talk)



- Time vs. energy  $\Rightarrow$  a compact object  $\Rightarrow$  BH or NS
- Size of a GRB engine:  $\lesssim 10^6\,\text{cm}$
- Energy density:  $\sim 10^{33}\,erg\,cm^{-3} \Leftrightarrow$  mass density  $\sim 10^{13}\,g\,cm^{-3}$
- **Temperature**:  $\sim 10^{11} \text{ K} \rightarrow \text{much higher then } T$  threshold for  $e^+e^-$  pair production  $\Rightarrow$  almost equal number of photons and pairs
- Pair dominated plasma or Poynting flux?
- $\Rightarrow$  BH with accretion disk?
- $\Rightarrow$  Magnetars?
- $\bullet$  Indication for the collapsar models  $\rightarrow$  several long GRBs detected in 1997 in SF galaxies?
- We detect short GRBs in all types of galaxies

#### The compactness problem:

- Energy:  $\sim 10^{51} \, \text{erg}$
- Time variability:  $\delta t \cong 0.1 \, s$  (or less!)
- Let's evaluate the size of the emitting region:  $R \le c \, \delta t \lesssim 3 \times 10^9 \, {
  m cm} \approx 10^{10} \, {
  m cm}$
- We see photon energies:  $\sim 300~keV$  1~Mev with high energy tail far beyond it (1 Mev  $\approx 10^{-6}~erg)$

₩

- Now let's estimate the # density of photons within the source object as  $\sim E/R^3 \rightarrow 10^{51+6}/(10^{10})^3 \approx 10^{27}$  photons cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Cross-section for  $\gamma\gamma 
  ightarrow {\rm e^+e^-}$  is of the order of  $\sigma_T \sim 10^{-24}\,{\rm cm^2}$
- Optical depth  $au_{\gamma\gamma 
  ightarrow e^+e^-} \sim n_{
  m e}\sigma_t R \cong 10^{27} imes 10^{-24} imes 10^{10} pprox 10^{13}$
- That is: the optical depth for these photons to escape from this "fireball soup" will be also  $10^{13}$  (likely even more)  $\rightarrow$  they **cannot escape**
- This means: the spectrum must be thermal!

#### The compactness problem:

- But: we observe clearly nonthermal spectrum (synchrotron)!
- Need a "new physics" for explanation? Yes, but the "new physics" was invented in 1905: special relativity!
- $E_{\rm ph}$  (observed) =  $\Gamma E_{\rm ph}$  (emitted),  $R \leq \Gamma^2 c \, \delta t$  (explain later)
- An integrated power law energy spectrum dn(ε)/dε ~ ε<sup>-α</sup> reduces the # of photons N<sub>ph</sub> above the γγ → e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair production threshold by ε<sup>-α+1</sup> = Γ<sup>-2α+2</sup> ⇒ τ<sub>γγ→e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup></sub> ~ N<sub>ph</sub>/R<sup>3</sup> σ<sub>t</sub>R
- In that case: the optical depth will be transformed as

$$au_{\gamma\gamma 
ightarrow {
m e}^+{
m e}^-} \sim \Gamma^{-(2+2lpha)} n_{
m e} \sigma_t R \, pprox \, 10^{13} / \Gamma^{2+2lpha}$$

- The power index  $\alpha \sim 2 \rightarrow \Gamma \gtrsim 100$  150 solves this mystery!
- Calculations may give the constraints on Γ for long and short GRBs
- Occurrence of high  $\Gamma$  jets with a large beaming factor explain  $E\gtrsim 10^{52}\,{\rm ergs}$

- GRB source: mass *M*, energy *E*
- Pre-existing GRB source surroundings:

 $\rho \sim r^{-w}$  (wind : w = 2)  $\Rightarrow \dot{M} = 4\pi r^2 \rho v$  (everything const. in time)

- Spherical explosion: after a time t → mass m encountered by the expansion shock wave ≫ M
- (Analogy: a-bomb in the atmosfere → a few (few tens) kgs of explosive material → after a time the shock wave encounters much higher mass of the air)
- The whole system is thus independent of an explosive mass  $M \rightarrow$  depends only on surrounding density  $\rho$  and energy E (conserved quantity)
- Estimate of a size *R* of the shock wave at any time *t*:

$$\sim 
ho R^3 \left(rac{R}{t}
ight)^2 = E \quad \Rightarrow \quad R \propto t^{2/(5-w)} \quad ({\it Sedov-Taylor \ solution})$$

•  $R \propto t^{2/5}$  for  $\rho = \text{const.}$ ,  $R \propto t^{2/3}$  for wind, conserved  $E_k \rightarrow v$  may decelerate

- Now: what is the (ultra)relativistic analog of the previous  $(v_{\text{shock}} \rightarrow c)$ ?
- Shocked sphere at time t (in observer's frame) with the "size" R and the expansion velocity v = (almost) c:



- Now: what is the (ultra)relativistic analog of the previous  $(v_{\text{shock}} \rightarrow c)$ ?
- Reminder of the **STR** formalism (primed = particle's rest frame):

$$E = \Gamma m_0 c^2$$
, where  $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-eta^2}}$  with  $eta = rac{
u}{c}$ , and  $m_0 = m$  at rest

• The size of the shock wave now becomes (Blandford - McKee solution):

$$\sim 
ho R^3 c^2 \Gamma^2 = E \quad 
ightarrow \quad \Gamma \propto R^{-3/2} ext{ for } 
ho = ext{const.} \quad (\Gamma^2 ext{ due to } v_{ ext{th}})$$

• From the *aberration of light* (a 4-velocity with  $u' \equiv u \equiv c$ ):

$$\sin \theta = \frac{\sin \theta'}{\Gamma (1 + \beta \cos \theta')} \Rightarrow \text{ for } \theta' = \pi/2 \text{ (a photon emitted } \bot \text{ to } \nu \text{ in } \mathcal{K}') \rightarrow \\ \sin \theta = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \Rightarrow \text{ for } \Gamma \gg 1 \rightarrow \theta \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma}$$

• Beaming effect: if photons are emitted in  $\mathcal{K}'$  isotropically  $\rightarrow$  for half of them  $\theta' < \pi/2 \Rightarrow$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  half of them lying within a cone of half-angle  $1/\Gamma$ , while for a minority  $\theta \gg 1/\Gamma$ 

- Now: what is the (ultra)relativistic analog of the previous  $(v_{\text{shock}} \rightarrow c)$ ?
- The size of the shock wave now becomes:

 $\sim 
ho R^3 c^2 \Gamma^2 = E \quad 
ightarrow \quad \Gamma \propto R^{-3/2} \text{ for } 
ho = ext{const.} \quad (\Gamma^2 ext{ due to } v_{ ext{th}})$ 



- Connecting NR and UR regimes ( $v \ll c/v \approx c$ ):  $\beta \Gamma \propto R^{-3/2}$
- NR:  $\Gamma \sim 1$  / UR:  $\beta \sim 1 \Rightarrow$  smooth relation covering both the extreme cases
- Accurate SSS → proper coefficients, now an estimate, but: exact coefficient do not differ much ⇒ not bad approach (we now follow the UR case):



• Most o the GRB afterglows go as the synchrotron or the IC radiation



• Most o the GRB afterglows go as the synchrotron or the IC radiation



• Heuristic estimate of the synchrotron emission power *P* (per unit time): (exact solution using the Larmor formula, etc., too complicated for now)

tennis racket



- IC scattering by relativistic electrons:
- ellastic collision NR analog:  $\Delta V$  of the ball  $\rightarrow 2V$  (let's prove it in the restframe of a racket)
  - UR IC scattering by a head-on coming  $e^-$  with  $v \rightarrow c$ : incoming photon frequency  $h\nu \rightarrow$  backward scattered photon energy  $h\nu = \Gamma_e^2 h\nu'$  (same  $\Gamma^2$  as in the previous energy equation)

• Heuristic estimate of the synchrotron emission power P (per unit time): (exact solution using the Larmor formula, etc., too complicated for now)



- IC scattering by relativistic electrons: NR analog:  $\Delta V$  of the ball  $\rightarrow 2V$  (let's prove it in the restframe of a racket)
  - UR IC scattering by a head-on coming  $e^-$  with  $v \rightarrow c$ : incoming photon frequency  $h\nu \rightarrow$  backward scattered photon energy  $h\nu = \Gamma_{e}^{2} h\nu'$  (same  $\Gamma^{2}$  as in the previous energy equation)
- Cross sectional "cylinder" volume (between relativistic e<sup>-</sup> and ph, per unit time):  $\beta c\sigma_T \Rightarrow E_{\rm IC} = \beta c\sigma_T U_{\rm ph} \Gamma_{\rm e}^2 (U_{\rm ph} \text{ is the photons' energy density inside } \beta c\sigma_T)$
- We may think of a synchrotron radiation as if  $U_{\rm ph}$  is the energy density of the *B*-field:  $P_{\rm e} = \beta c \sigma_T \Gamma_{\rm e}^2 B^2 / (8\pi)$  (UR electron's emitted power per unit of time)

- What is the emission from a distribution of electrons?
- Assuming a **shock wave** that accumulates mass  $\sim \rho R^3$ , accelerating the collected electrons to all kinds of energies:
- Let's have a decreasing power-law distribution of a # of electrons per  $\Gamma_e$ (dependence of the quantity  $\frac{dn_e}{d\Gamma_e}$  on  $\Gamma_e$ ):  $\frac{dn_e}{d\Gamma_e} \sim \Gamma_e^{-p}$
- The same distribution as a **function of a frequency**  $\nu$ : # of electrons in a certain  $\Gamma_e$  range  $\rightarrow \Gamma_e \frac{dn_e}{d\Gamma_e}$
- Multiplying this by power and divide by the frequency for this  $\Gamma_e$ :

 $\frac{\Gamma_{\rm e} \frac{{\rm d}n_{\rm e}}{{\rm d}\Gamma_{\rm e}} \,\beta \,c\,\sigma_{\rm T}\,\Gamma_{\rm e}^2 \frac{B^2}{8\pi}}{\frac{q_{\rm e}B}{m_{\rm e}c}\Gamma_{\rm e}^2} \sim \Gamma_{\rm e} \frac{{\rm d}n_{\rm e}}{{\rm d}\Gamma_{\rm e}} = {\rm power} \ ({\rm energy \ per \ unit \ time}) \ {\rm per \ unit \ frequency}$ 

• Recalling  $\Gamma_{\rm e} \sim \nu^{1/2}$ :  $F_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-(p-1)/2}$ 

• Broad-band synchrotron spectrum of the afterglow from a spherical fireball with constant density ("ISM" model) and  $\rho \propto r^{-2}$  medium ("wind" model) :



• Evaluation of an efficient cooling time from the previous:

$$t_{\rm cool} \sim \frac{E_{\rm e}}{P_{\rm e}} \sim \frac{\Gamma_{\rm e} m_{\rm e} c^2}{\beta c \, \sigma_T \, \Gamma_{\rm e}^2 B^2 / (8\pi)} \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\rm e}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$

- Electrons between  $u_{\rm m} < \nu < 
  u_{\rm c}$  "live" forever (= longer than the system)
- Electrons with  $\nu > \nu_c$  are so efficiently cooled that they "live" for a shorter time than the system:  $F_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-(p-1)/2} \cdot \nu^{-1/2} \propto \nu^{-p/2}$
- The yet simpler consideration may use the fact that during their short "life" the electrons emit all their energy:

$$\left(\Gamma_{\rm e}\frac{{\rm d}n_{\rm e}}{{\rm d}\Gamma_{\rm e}}\,\Gamma_{\rm e}m_{\rm e}c^2\right)/\left(\frac{q_{\rm e}B}{m_{\rm e}c}\Gamma_{\rm e}^2\right)\sim\Gamma_{\rm e}^{-p}\propto\nu^{-p/2}$$

- Electrons between  $\nu_a < \nu < \nu_m$  (low energy tail, a bit complicated to derive):  $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{1/3} \rightarrow$  even for "monoenergetic" electrons
- At the yet more lower frequencies,  $\nu < \nu_{a}$ , the synchrotron emission is so efficient that it absorbs the photons that it emits: self-absorption (blackbody) spectrum  $\rightarrow F_{\nu} \sim \frac{\nu^{2}}{c^{2}} \Gamma_{\min} m_{e} c^{2} \frac{R^{2}}{D^{2}}$  (*E* instead of  $kT \rightarrow$  not really thermal)

- What we need to know for actual calculations:
  - # of electrons
  - What is the  $\Gamma_{min}$
  - Parameters of the *B*-field
  - Energy distribution p of electrons
- $n_{\rm e} \sim {\rho R^3 \over m_{\rm p}}$
- $\Gamma_{\min} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{e} \Gamma m_{p} c^{2} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{e} m_{e} c^{2} = \Gamma m_{p} c^{2}$  (equipartition between e<sup>-</sup> and p<sup>+</sup>?)  $\Rightarrow$  $\Gamma_{\min} \sim \varepsilon_{e} \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}} \Gamma$  ( $\varepsilon_{e}$  is the "fudge" factor,  $\Gamma$  is the Lorentz factor of the shock) •  $R^{3} \frac{B^{2}}{8\pi} \sim \varepsilon_{B} E$
- However: everything evolves in time, the values change; the picture introduced here may fit only for early times (cf. Granot+ 2000)
- Even the ordering of the limiting frequencies may change, e.g.,  $\nu_c$  becomes lower than  $\nu_m$ , then the power law is  $\nu^{-p/2}$  for  $\nu > \nu_m$  and  $\nu^{-1/2}$  for  $\nu_c < \nu < \nu_m$ , etc.

- What if the explosion is not spherical → only jet with an initial opening angle Θ<sub>0</sub>: (observational constraints for the geometry)
- If  $\Gamma \gg 1 \rightarrow$  the center of the jet does not "know" about edges; only limited amount of material that "knows" about the empty space outside the jet
- The time of the jet expansion in the local frame:  $t = R/\Gamma c$



- What if the explosion is not spherical → only jet with an initial opening angle Θ<sub>0</sub>: (observational constraints for the geometry)
- Corresponding "arrival angle":  $\Theta_{\perp} = \frac{R_{\perp}}{R} = \frac{1}{\Gamma}$ ; if  $\Theta_{\perp} \ge \Theta_0 \rightarrow$  the jet begins to "feel" the edges  $\rightarrow$  spreads and slows down faster; the time when this happens  $\approx 6 \operatorname{hrs} \left( E_{52} / \rho \left[ p^+ \operatorname{cm}^{-3} \right] \right)^{1/3} \Theta_0^{8/3}$ , ( $\Theta_0$  between 1-10 degs)
- Spherical explosion energy  $E_{iso}$ , jet energy  $E_{jet} = \frac{\Theta_0^2}{2} E_{iso}$ , canonical values:  $E_{\rm iet} \sim 10^{51} \, {\rm erg}, \, E_{\rm iso} \sim 10^{51} \, {
  m -} \, 10^{54} \, {
  m erg}$ lateral expansion , Θ. break Θ → to observer source  $\Gamma > \frac{1}{\Theta_0}$   $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\Theta_0}$  $\Gamma < \frac{1}{\Omega_{0}}$



## **GRB** engines





internal dissipation 10<sup>13</sup>-10<sup>15</sup> cm



 $10^{16}\text{-}10^{18}\,\text{cm}$